

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICK MLADINICH, individually and On
Behalf of All Other Similarly Situated
Employees,

No. C 16-03414 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER REGARDING REQUEST
FOR EXTENSION**

SAFEGUARD PROPERTIES, LLC.;
DOES 1 THROUGH 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

According to a notice filed by the Court in all class actions, including this class action (Dkt. No. 5), parties may not discuss settlement until after a class is certified. While it is reasonable to discount class members' claims by the risk of litigation on the merits, it is not reasonable to further discount claims by the risk that class certification will be denied.


The Court is concerned to learn that counsel have taken it upon themselves to reach a purported settlement in principle (Dkt. No. 9). If the proposed settlement is a settlement on an individual basis, then the Court is less concerned.

Before acting on the stipulated request for an extension, the Court requests that counsel

1 state whether the proposed settlement is a class settlement or an individual settlement by
2 **SEPTEMBER 12, 2016 AT NOON.**

3
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: September 8, 2016.

7 
8 WILLIAM ALSUP
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28